Thin Asphalt Overlays for Pavement Preservation

*Mid-Year Asphalt Pavement Technical Seminar*

*Sponsored by Flexible Pavements of Ohio*
Defining a Thin Asphalt Overlay?

- Thin overlays are typically ≤ 1 ½ inch thick (ODOT defines as any overlay less than or equal to 2 inch thick)
- They can be a simple overlay or part of a mill-and-fill strategy
- They are not typically intended to strengthen the pavement but instead to address functional problems as part of a pavement preservation strategy.
Why Thin Asphalt Overlays?

- Shift from new construction to renewal and preservation
- Functional improvements for safety and smoothness needed more than structural improvements – Perpetual Pavements

- Material improvements
  - Performance Graded binders (PG) and Polymers
  - SMA, OGFC and Dense-Graded
  - Superpave mix design
  - Warm Mix
  - Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP) processing
  - Roofing Shingles
Thin Asphalt Overlays are Popular
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Benefits of Thin Asphalt Overlays

- Long service, low life-cycle cost
- Maintain grade and slope
- Handles heavy traffic
- Smooth surface
- Seal the surface
- No loose stones
- Minimize dust
- Minimize traffic delays

- No curing time
- Low noise generation
- No binder runoff
- Can be recycled
- Can use in stage construction
- Easy to maintain
- Restore skid resistance
When is a Thin Overlay a Suitable Treatment?

Basic Evaluation

- Visual Survey
- Structural Assessment
  - No structural improvement required
- Drainage Evaluation
  - What changes are needed
- Functional Evaluation
  - Ride quality
  - Skid resistance
- Discussion with Maintenance Personnel
When is a Thin Overlay a Suitable Treatment?

- **Description of Candidate Projects**
  - Pavements suitable for a surface treatment overlay show the following distresses:
    - Dry-looking, “bony” pavements that are porous or permeable
    - Pavements that have begun to ravel
    - Pavements with extensive cracking too fine for crack sealing
    - Pavements with cracking of the surface too extensive for crack sealing alone
    - Pavements where curb reveal does not permit heavy lift thicknesses
When is a Thin Overlay a Suitable Treatment?

Description of Candidate Projects

- Candidate pavements will have...
  - No unrepaired structural (fatigue) damage
  - No appreciable rutting (< ¼ inch)
  - Sufficient remaining structural capacity to last the life of the treatment

Note:

- Rapidly deteriorating pavements are not good candidates for PM. Rapid deterioration is indicative of inadequate pavement strength.
- Not intended as a crack attenuating layer.
ODOT’s Thin-Asphalt Overlay

A 1991 Industry Initiative with some very simple goals:

- Preserve the pavement
- Provide some structure
- Improve safety and ride by “truing-up” the pavement
- Be economical
  - Placed in thin lifts to reduce $ per SY
  - Non-proprietary: allowing use of local contractors and materials
ODOT’s Thin-Asphalt Overlay

- Marshall Method used for mix design.
- Sought to design a specification that would result in mixes rich in binder and composition that would ensure good durability/long life.
- Resulting specification is ODOT Item 424, FINE GRADED POLYMER ASPHALT CONCRETE (a.k.a. Smoothseal)
  - Used primarily for pavement preservation but more recently being piloted as a surface course for Perpetual Pavement.
Material Characterization
Item 424, Mix Types

- **Type A**
  - Mix Design – Recipe mix (all traffic types – light, medium, heavy applications)

- **Type B (Smoothseal)**
  - Mix Design – Volumetric mix design using Marshall Method (light, medium or heavy traffic pavements)
Material Characterization
Material Characterization

Item 424, Mix Types

- Type “A” Composition
  - Blend of sands w/ 8.5% polymer modified asphalt binder (76-22 SBS or 64-22 w/5% SBR)
  - Silicon dioxide requirement on the fine agg. ensures good skid resistance
  - Highest polymer dosage used in Ohio’s market enhances mix toughness, stability, and longevity
Material Characterization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 424, Type A</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2 inch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8 inch</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 4</td>
<td>95-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 8</td>
<td>90-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 16</td>
<td>80-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 30</td>
<td>60-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 50</td>
<td>30-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 100</td>
<td>10-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 200</td>
<td>3-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 424, Mix Types

- Type “B” (*Smoothseal*) Composition
  - ½-inch max. sized coarse agg. and sand particles w/ min. polymer binder content of 6.4% (76-22 SBS or 64-22 w/5% SBR)
  - 100% two-faced crushed coarse agg. for heavy traffic mixes to provide stability
  - Silicon dioxide requirement on the fine agg. ensures good skid resistance
  - Polymer modification used to enhance mix toughness, stability and longevity
  - 10% R.A.P. permitted
Narrow grading bands were set to reduce variability and ensure consistently good statewide performance.
Material Characterization

![Material Characterization Graph]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 424, Type B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Material Characterization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microsurfacing</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/8 inch</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 4</td>
<td>85-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 8</td>
<td>50-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 16</td>
<td>40-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 30</td>
<td>28-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 50</td>
<td>13-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 100</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 200</td>
<td>5-15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thickness Guidelines
Overlay Thickness Guidelines

Placement Thickness (compacted)

- Type A mix
  \[ \frac{5}{8}” \leq \text{thickness} \leq \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{8}” \]
- Type B mix
  \[ \frac{3}{4}” \leq \text{thickness} \leq \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2}” \]

**Note:** Pavement surfaces having significant irregularity will require a leveling course or cold-milling prior to placement of Smoothseal.
Manufacturing & Placement
Manufacturing and Placement

Manufacturing *Smoothseal*

- Will be similar to other polymer-modified HMA
  - Greater heat during production
  - Elevated mix temperature at the project site - max. 350°F
    - Sufficiently hot to compact
    - Not so hot so as to cause binder draindown
    - At least 290°F at time of compaction when placed as HMA
  - Has been successfully manufactured as WMA
Manufacturing and Placement

Placing *Smoothseal*

- Heightened attention to factors affecting pavement smoothness
- Uniformity in production, temperature, mix delivery, head of material before screed, and compaction all become critically important
- Handling and raking should be minimized... very, very sticky mix!
- Avoid feathering
- Butt joints are preferred
Ensuring a Successful *Smoothseal* Job

- Place material on clean and dry pavement.
- Place material on pavement having a minimum 60°F surface temperature.
- Ensure uniform application of tack coat (polymer modified tack not essential).
- Do not use pneumatic tire rollers.
- Construct hot longitudinal joints or seal cold joints with bituminous material thoroughly coating the vertical face without runoff.
Economics
### Economics

#### Annualized Cost per SY (OHIO)

- **no discount** -

**(Based on Ave. Years Between Treatment)**

**(May '10 to May '12 price data)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Annual Cost</th>
<th>Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microsurfacing (surface crse.)</td>
<td>$0.35</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Chip Seal w/polymer</td>
<td>$0.40</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC Surface, Type 1 (1.25&quot; thick)</td>
<td>$0.52</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoothseal Type B (3/4&quot; thick)</td>
<td>$0.27</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoothseal Type A (3/4&quot; thick)</td>
<td>$0.29</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Economics

Annualized Cost per Lane Mile (OHIO)
- no discount -
(Based on Ave. Years Between Treatment)
(May '10 to May '12 price data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microsurfacing (surface crse.)</td>
<td>$2,492</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Chip Seal w/polymer</td>
<td>$2,798</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC Surface, Type 1 (1.25&quot; thick)</td>
<td>$3,630</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoothseal Type B (3/4&quot; thick)</td>
<td>$1,935</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoothseal Type A (3/4&quot; thick)</td>
<td>$2,049</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assessing Value
## Assessing Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Smoothseal</th>
<th>Microsurfacing</th>
<th>Chip Seals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrects surface distress</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increases skid resistance</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimizes curb loss</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliminates dust and loose aggregate</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrects minor rutting</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increases structural strength</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improves pavement drainage</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improves ride quality and driver safety</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assessing Value

Item 424, Type B (Smoothseal)

Skid Number (ribbed tire) vs. Pavement Age

Pavement Age

Skid # | Age (years)
---|---
RIC 430 | 59
TRU 88 | 54
STA 93 | 54
PER 345 | 53
BUT 177 | 52
TUS 39 | 52
JEFF 7 | 63
LUC 23 | 46
LUC 475 | 49
SHE 75 | 50
LOR 20 | 49
COS 36 | 52
FAY 35 | 66
PIK 32 | 56
## Assessing Value

### Rutting Study of 854 Type B Mixes (Smoothseal Ty B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix ID</th>
<th>Design Type</th>
<th>Binder Content (%)</th>
<th>Nat Sand (%)</th>
<th>Deformation (mm) @130F</th>
<th>Deformation (mm) @140F</th>
<th>Deformation (mm) @150F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>470</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>379</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>471</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:

- Use **5 mm** for limit on typical test for Design Type **Heavy** - dense grade mix
- Test duration: 8,000 cycles using GaDoT device
- All designs use PG76-22 modified with SBS
Typical Applications
Paving Englewood city streets with Smoothseal - 2002
Smoothsealing SR82 in Cuyahoga County
North Coast Inland Bike Path
Paved with Smoothseal, Type A
IR 675 Montgomery County – Smoothseal, Type B
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Questions?

Clifford Ursich, PE
info@flexiblepavements.org
www.flexiblepavements.org